

2021 SCORECARD FOR COC RENEWAL APPLICATIONS

The Non Conflict Grant (NCG) Review Committee will use scorecard to rate the local renewal project applications for the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) 2020 Continuum of Care (CoC) Program Competition funding.

The scorecard has four goals:

- Fund organizations that have the capacity to run effective programs (can manage and administer a HUD-funded program, can operate on reimbursement basis, have experience servicing this or a similar population)
- Fund projects that reflect the Palm Beach County Housing and Homeless Alliance (HHA), the local CoC, and HUD's priorities: permanent supportive housing and serving the chronically homeless
- Incentivize agencies to be members in good standing with HHA and actively input data into the HMIS system.
- Ensure that funded projects are being good stewards of HUD CoC Program funding in Palm Beach County and performing to HUD and HHA's standards.

Reviewer:	
Applicant:	
Project Name:	
Project Type:	
Reviewer Signature:	
Date:	

Scoring:

Each application is scored by at least one member of the NCG Review Committee and one staff member of the Division of Human and Veteran Services (DHVS), the CoC Lead Agency. Scores are averaged together to obtain the final score in each category.

PROJECT QUALITY	Total	
REQUIREMENTS	Score:	
Further review will be triggered if the		170
application does not score a minimum of	RRH:	<mark>165</mark>
33% or 51 points on the scoring tool. The Review Committee will determine if the	PSH- YHDP:	95
project is ineligible for inclusion in the final	RRH – YHDP:	95
PBC CoC application or will receive reduced	SSO:	85
funding as a result of scoring.	Bonus Question:	15
	Tie Breaker:	25



PROJECT SCORE: Final Overall Score of Application

Scoring Guide by Question					
	<u>PSH</u>	<u>RRH</u>	<u> RRH - YHDP</u>	<u>PSH-YHDP</u>	<u>sso</u>
Total Score: (Excludes Bonus & Tie Breaker)	170	165	95	120	85
<u>A. HHA and PBC</u> <u>Prioritization</u>	10	10	10	10	0
A1	10	N/A	N/A	10	N/A
A2	N/A	10	10	N/A	N/A
B. Match	10	10	10	10	10
B3	10	10	10	10	10
C. Project Performance	60	60	10	10	0
C4	10	10	10	10	N/A
C5	N/A	25	N/A	N/A	N/A
C6	25	N/A	N/A	25	N/A
C7	15	15	N/A	N/A	N/A
C8	2.5	2.5	N/A	N/A	N/A
С9	2.5	2.5	N/A	N/A	N/A
C10	2.5	2.5	N/A	N/A	N/A
C11	2.5	2.5	N/A	N/A	N/A
D. Serve to High Needs Population	30	30	30	30	0
D12	N/A	15	15	N/A	N/A
D13	N/A	15	15	N/A	N/A
D14	15	N/A	N/A	15	N/A
D15	15	N/A	N/A	15	N/A
E. Project Effectiveness	45	45	25	25	15
E16	20	20	N/A	N/A	N/A
E17	15	15	15	15	15
E18	10	10	10	10	N/A
F. Other and Local Criteria	15	10	10	10	0
F19	10	10	10	10	N/A
F20	5	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
G. Support Services	0	0	0	0	60

N/A

G21

N/A

15

N/A

N/A



G22	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	15
G23	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	15
G24	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	15
Bonus Question	15	15	15	15	5
Bonus Question 1	5	5	5	5	5
Bonus Question 2	10	10	10	10	0
Tie Breaker	25	25	15	15	15
Tie Breaker 1	15	15	15	15	15
Tie Breaker 2	10	10	0	0	0

A. H	HA and PBC Prioritiza	tion		Project	Score:	
	cating Beds for Chronic ly Participants	ally Homel	ess DV, Youth,			
1	What percentage of the p for chronically homeless P PSH PSH- YHDP	-	beds are dedicated	100%: 10 99% and	points below: 0 pts	
2	What % of the projects be Families with children; RRH RRH- YHDP	ds are RRH ; l	DV; Youth (18-25);	100%: 10 99% and	points below: 0 pts	
Possib	ole Points +	P	<mark>PSH =10</mark> RRH =10 RR	H YHDP=1	0 PSH- YHDP=10	0
C N ap de ao	B. Match (25% required as per regulation for SS/ Operations & Admin) Match amounts are based on documentation submitted by the applicant by the submission deadline. Information submitted after the deadline will not be included in the scoring of these sections. In addition, leverage and match forms/letters must be Program Specific.					
					Standard Met	
Docui	mentation of Match				Possible Score	Project Score
	 Do the match form(s)/letter(s) sufficiently document the required 25% match for the specific renewal project? PSH;RRH; RRH- YHDP PSH- YHDP;SSO 			Standard Met: 10 pts Standard Unmet: 0 pts		
Possih	ole Points +	ossible Points + PSH =10 RRH=10 RRH YHDP=				

C. Project Performance Project Performance Scores are based on calendar year (January 1, 2020 to December 31, 2020) HMIS report. If projects have not been operational for one year, the data will be based upon what is currently in the HMIS system. If projects have not input data into the HMIS system, the project will receive either no points or



Possible Points +

the most negative points available for each question.

PSH =60 RRH=60 RRH YHDP=10 PSH- YHDP=35

Pop	pulations Served	Possible Score	Project
4	Length of time between project start date and housing move-in-date What is the percentage of program participants placed in housing within 45 days after referral to RRH/PSH? PSH;RRH;RRH YHDP; PSH- YHDP HMIS Report Exits to permanent housing Did 90% or more program participants move from RRH to PH? RRH; APR Q23c This question does not apply to programs operating less than 12 months	100% - 80% = 10 79% - 60% = 8 59% - 40% = 6 39% - 20% = 4 19% or less = 0 90% or more = 25 80% - 89% = 20 70% - 79% = 15 60% - 69% = 10 50% - 59% = 5 49% or less = 0	Score
6	and should not be included in their final score. Exits to permanent housing (PSH) Did 90% or more program participants remain in PSH or move from PSH to PH? PSH; PSH-YHDP APR Q23c	90% or more = 25 89% - 85% = 20 84% - 80% = 15 79% - 75% = 10 74% - 70% = 5 69% or less = 0	
7	Returns to Homelessness Did 15% or less program participants return to homelessness within 12 months of exit to PH? PSH;RRH; HMIS Report – Sys PM Measure 2 Note: If program has no exits, question is excluded from total score. This question does not apply to programs operating less than 12 months and should not be included in their final score.	15% or less = 15 16% - 20% = 5 21% or more = 0	
8	New or increased income and earned income For program participants who remained in the program, did 8% or more increase their earned income? PSH;RRH; Note: This question does not apply to DV applications and should not be included in their final score. This question does not apply to programs operating less than 12 months and should not be included in their final score. APR Q19a1	8% or more = 2.5 Less than 8% = 0	



•	New entremental income and new environment income	0%/ en me en e - 2 F
9	New or increased income and non-employment income For program participants who remained in the program, did 8% or more increase their non-employment income? PSH;RRH;	8% or more = 2.5 Less than 8% = 0
	Note: This question does not apply to DV applications and should not be included in their final score. This question does not apply to programs operating less than 12 months and should not be included in their final score.	
	APR Q19a1	
10	New or increased income and earned income	8% or more = 2.5
	For program participants who exited the program, did 8% or more increase their earned income? PSH;RRH;	Less than 8% = 0
	Note: This question does not apply to programs operating less than 12	
	months and should not be included in their final score.	
	Note: This question does not apply to DV applications and should not be	
	included in their final score.	
	Note: If program has no exits, question is excluded from total score. APR Q19a2	
11	New or increased income and non-employment income	8% or more = 2.5
	For program participants who exited the program, did 8% or more increase their non-employment income? PSH;RRH;	Less than 8% = 0
	Note: This question does not apply to programs operating less than 12 months and should not be included in their final score.	
	Note: This question does not apply to DV applications and should not be included in their final score.	
	Note: If program has no exits, question is excluded from total score.	
I	APR Q19a2	

D. Serve to High Needs Population	Project Score:	
Unless otherwise noted, the following project performance scores are		
based on calendar year (January 1, 2020 to December 31, 2020) HMIS		
report. If projects have not been operational for one year, the data		
will be based upon what is currently in the HMIS system. If projects		
have not input data into the HMIS system, the project will receive		
either no points or the most negative points available for each		
question.		
Possible Points + PSH =30 RRH=30 RRH YHDP=30	PSH- YHDP=30	
Populations Served	Possible Score	Project Score



12	Assessment Score – RRH	95% or more = 15
12	Does the assessment score indicate that 95% or more of the program	90% - 94% = 10
	participants require RRH or more intensive intervention?	85% - 89% = 5
	RRH; RRH-YHDP	84% or less = 0
		84% OF IESS = 0
	Note: DV applicants are to be awarded full points for this questions	
	since 100% or their clients are assessed using a different process.	
	HMIS Report	
13	Homeless Category – RRH	95% or more = 15
	Does 95% or more of program participants fall into Category 1 or	94% or less = 0
	Category 4 homeless status?	
	*Youth RRH- Category 1, 2, or 4 Homeless Status	
	RRH; RRH-YHDP	
	HMIS Report	
14	One or more disability – PSH	95% or more = 15
	Does 95% or more program participants have one or more disability	90% - 94% = 10
	types?	85% - 89% = 5
	PSH; PSH- YHDP	84% or less = 0
	Note: Only Include entries after 10/1/2015	
	HMIS Report	
	Report includes only adult data. Head of household over 18	
15	Homeless Category – PSH	95% or more = 15
	Did 95% or more program participants who entered program after	94% or less = 0
	October 1, 2015 from Category 1 or Category 4 homeless status?	
	*Youth RRH- Category 1, 2, or 4 homeless Status	
	PSH PSH- YHDP	
	HMIS Report	
	Note: Will use homeless status as opposed to previous living status	

E. Project Effectiveness		Project Score:
Possible Points +	<mark>PSH =45</mark> RRH=45 RRH YHDP	=25 PSH- YHDP=25 <mark>SSO = 15</mark>

Ρορι	Ilations Served	Possible Score	Project Score
16	Project has reasonable costs Was 100% of project funding allocation expended in the previous grant year? PSH;RRH; Note: This question does not apply to YHDP programs or those	100% = 20 90% - 99% = 10 89% or less = 0	



	operating less than 12 months and should not be included in their final score.		
17	Coordinated Entry Participation Are 100% of entries to program after October 1, 2015 from coordinated entry referrals? PSH;RRH; RRH- YHDP; PSH- YHDP;SSO Note: DV applicants are to be awarded full points for this questions since DV programs use a different CE process.	100% =15 95% -99% = 10 94 or less = 0	
18	Housing First Does the project applicant commit to implementing a Housing First and/or Low Barrier model? PSH;RRH; RRH- YHDP; PSH- YHDP	Yes = 10 No = 0	

F. Other and Local Criteria	Project Score:
Possible Points +	PSH =15 RRH=10

Populations Served		Possible Score	Project Score
19	CoC Monitoring Score	0 findings = 10	
	Is the agency free of any CoC Monitoring findings for HUD programs?	1 finding = 5	
	PSH;RRH;	2 findings = 2	
		3+ findings = 0	

20	Unit Utilization Rate What is the program's unit utilization rate? A. Average = January + April+ July + October 4 B. Q9 Average Available Beds or Units X 100 Average can be found in APR Q7b for Persons/Singles and Q8b for	90% or higher = 5 80% - 89% = 2 70% - 79% = 1 0% - 78% = 0	PSH only or RRH and DV, NOT A SET NUMBER OF UNITS. COULD BE FLUCTUATED
	Average can be found in APR Q7b for Persons/Singles and Q8b for Households/Families and number of units is found in grant application. * * Beds for singles programs and units for family programs PSH		FLUCTUATED DEPENDENT ON FUNDING



G. Supportive Services	Project Score:
Possible Points +	SSO = 60

Рори	llations Served	Possible Score	Project
			Score
21		85% - 100% = 15	
	What is the percentage of households that exited to permanent		
	housing destinations?	55% - 69% = 5	
	SSO	0% - 54% = 0	
22	SSO Projects Discharged	Below 15% = 15	
	What is the percentage of households that were discharged from the	15% or Above = 0	
	project involuntarily – expelled or otherwise?		
	<mark>SSO</mark>		
23	SSO Services	30% and Above = 15	
	What percentage of households were provided a service while in the	Below 30% = 0	
	program?		
	SSO		
24	SSO – Category 1, 2, or 4 Homeless Status	95% or more = 15	
	Does 95% or more of program participants fall into Category 1,	94% or less = 0	
	Category 2, or Category 4 homeless status?		
	SSO		

Project Score:
HDP=5

Populations Served		Possible Score	Project Score
25	If the project applicant has additional non-HUD funded homeless beds (not a part of this project application), are those beds being entered into the HMIS system or Comparable Data system (DV)? PSH;RRH; RRH- YHDP PSH- YHDP	Yes = 5 No = 0	



26	Percentage of program leavers that were employed at program exit?	50% or more = 10	
	%	30% - 49% = 5	
	PSH;RRH;	10% - 29% = 2	
		9% and below = 0	
	Note: If program has no exits, question is excluded from total		
	score.		

The p be giv Unles on ca proje what	aker (if needed): (Maximum tie breaker points = 25 roject must meet the minimum attendance requirements and po- ven based upon the overall attendance for each question. s otherwise noted, the following project performance scores a lendar year (January 1, 2020 to December 31, 2020) HMIS repo- ct has not been operational for one year, the data will be bas is currently in the HMIS system. If projects have not input data system, the project will not be eligible for bonus question points.	re based ort. If the ed upon into the	:
Possible I	Points + PSH =25RRH=25 RRH YHDP	=15 PSH-YHDP=15	
Populations Served		Possible Score	Project Score
27	Did the applicant participate in HHA meetings? (Minimum of 60% required)% of HHA meeting attended. PSH;RRH; RRH- YHDP;PSH- YHDP	100% = 15 pts 90%-99.9% = 10 pts 80%-89% =5 pts 70%-79% = 2 pts	
28	What is the average time between project start date and housing move-in date? Lowest average time receives the highest point. Top four projects with lowest average only. All other projects will not receive tie-breaker points. PSH;RRH; RRH- YHDP;PSH- YHDP	Lowest Average Time = 10 Second Lowest Average Time = 8 Second Highest Average Time = 5 Highest Average Time = 2	