#### Draft Final Report June 14, 2016

Kurtis Gurley, PhD, Forrest Masters, PhD, PE Associate Professor of Civil Engineering Engineering School of Sustainable Infrastructure & Environment University of Florida



### Background

- 2013 2014: Survey of 385 roofing contractors
  - Electrogalvanized fastener corrosion is common
  - Corrosion in coastal applications is prevalent
  - Corrosion in inland applications is not uncommon
  - Outcomes justified a follow up experimental studies
- 2014 2015: Experimental evaluation of fastener corrosion
  - Electrogalvanized fasteners tested in multiple configurations
  - Applied TAS 114 Appendix E test protocol
  - Gradations of corrosion documented



## Testing: 2014 - 2015

- Test out-of-the-box fasteners side-by-side with fasteners installed / removed in various substrates
- The test protocol followed TAS 114 Appendix E
  - Failure if > 5% surface area indicates failure
- An integer scale of  ${\sf I}-{\sf 8}$  was created to classify the degree of corrosion observed on the fasteners



#### I: no corrosion observed

#### 2: Edge corrosion only







#### 3: Light partial surface corrosion most scores of 3 would fail TAS criterion of

> 5% surface corrosion



#### 4: Light full surface corrosion





#### 5: Partial heavy surface corrosion



#### 6: Partial heavy and partial light full surface corrosion





#### 7: Heavy full surface corrosion without scaling



#### 8: Heavy full surface corrosion with scaling





#### **Results: 2014 - 2015** Corrosion scale results for 16 roof fasteners tested out of the box (configuration OB)

- Manufacturers C & D clearly shows better performance
- This set corresponds to the TAS 114 test specimen conditions

SLIDE

8



## Results: 2014 - 2015

- A wide disparity in the performance of electrogalvanized fasteners from different manufacturers was observed
- A high failure rate of the TAS 114 criterion for electrogalvanized fasteners was observed
- The tested fasteners were electrogalvanized, but not marked at ASTM A641 or TAS 114 compliant
- The results provide a baseline against which to measure ASTM A641 and TAS 114 compliant fasteners
- Are they any better than unlabeled EG fasteners?



## 2015 – 2016 Work

#### <u>Goals:</u>

- Test-I: Determine whether ASTM A641 and TAS 114 Appendix E certified fastener performance exceeds the baseline electrogalvanized results from the 2014 – 2015 study
- Test-2: Investigate the influence of installation on hot dipped nails
- Test-3: Investigate the corrosion performance of screen enclosure fasteners (concrete, SMS and SDS: stainless, ceramic coated)

**Approach:** Apply TAS 114 Appendix E testing (Section 2.6.1) to evaluate the degree of corrosion resistance of fasteners



## 2015 – 2016 Work: Test-1

#### • Specimen types

<ul> <li>I 1/4" EG nails, HVHZ and non-HVHZ</li> </ul>	CHINA
<ul> <li>I ¼" hot dipped coil nails</li> </ul>	CHINA
<ul> <li>Note: hot dipped coating ~ 10 times thicker zinc coating than EG</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>- 3" stainless steel patio/deck nails</li> </ul>	TAIWAN
<ul> <li>2 <sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub>" Electroplated (EP) tile screws</li> </ul>	USA
<ul> <li>2 <sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub>" Mechanically galvanized tile screws</li> </ul>	USA
<ul> <li>Note mechanically galvanized thicker zinc coating than electroplated</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>Hot dipped screws</li> </ul>	CHINA

- Suppliers include north, central and south Florida
- 10 of each type were tested



Table 1: 16 specimen types tested to date (10 samples of each)					
Group number	Product type	Coating type	Certification	Supplier location	
1	1 ¼ nail	EG	ASTM F1667	Orlando	
2	1 ¼ nail	EG	Unknown	Orlando	
3	1 ¼ coil nail	EG	Unknown	Orlando	
4	1 ¼ coil nail	EG	ASTM F1667	Gainesville	
5	1 ¼ coil nail	EG	ASTM A153 Class D	Orlando	
6	#8 2 ½ tile screw	MG	ASTM B695 Class 55 2006 IRC Compliant	Orlando	
7	#8 2 ½ tile screw	EP	unknown	Bradenton	
8	1 ¼ coil nail	EG	ASTM F1667	Bradenton	
9	1 ¼ coil nail	HD	Unknown	Sarasota	
10	1 ½ screws	HD	No info	No info	
11	10d 3" RS	SS	MDC Approved HVHZ	Miami	
12	1 ¼ RS nail	HD	MDC Approved ASTM F1667 HVHZ	Miami	
13	1 ¼ nail	EG	MDC Approved ASTM F1667 HVHZ	Miami	
14	1 ¼ coil RS nail	EG	MDC Approved ASTM A641	Miami	
15	1 ¼ coil RS nail	EG	Non HVHZ, MDC approved ASTM A641	West Palm	
16	#8 2 ½ tile screw	MG	ASTM B695 Class 55 2006 IRC Compliant	West Palm	

Notes:

ASTM F1667 → ASTM A641 compliant

ASTM A153 Class D thicker zinc coating that ASTM A641

ASTM B695 → mechanical galvanizing standard, Class 55 → 0.0022" zinc thickness

HVHZ 
→ Miami Dade County compliant

EG  $\rightarrow$  electrogalvanized, EP  $\rightarrow$  electroplated, MG  $\rightarrow$  mechanically galvanized, HD  $\rightarrow$  hot dipped,

I UNIVERSITY of FLORIDA

SS → stainless steel

## 2015 – 2016 Work: Test-2

#### Specimen types

- I 1/4" EG nails, unmarked
  I 1/4" hot dipped smooth shank nails
  I 1/4" hot dipped ring shank nails
  CHINA
  CHINA
  - Note: hot dipped coating  $\sim 10$  times thicker zinc coating than EG

 Each of the above three types were tested out of the box and installed-removed

• 10 of each type were tested = 60 samples



## 2015 – 2016 Work : Test-2

Table 2: test-2: 3 specimen types, 10 samples of each out-of-the-box, 10 samples of each installed and removed prior to testing         Status: completed 280 hours (140 cycles)						
Group number	Product type	Coating type	Certification	Testing Configuration		
1	1 ¼ coil nail	EG	Not marked	Out of box		
2	1 ¼ coil nail	EG	Not marked	Installed and removed		
3*	1 ¼ coil nail	HD	Not marked	Out of box		
4*	1 ¼ coil nail	HD	Not marked	Installed and removed		
5**	1 ¼ RS nail	HD	ASTM F1667	Out of box		
6**	1 ¼ RS nail	HD	ASTM F1667	Installed and removed		
Notes: ASTM F1667 → ASTM A641 compliant * same specimen type as Group 9 in test-1						

\*\* same specimen type as Group 12 in test-1



## 2015 – 2016 Work: Test-3

- Specimen types
  - 304 stainless masonry screws: ceramic coated
    - 4 sizes
  - 316 stainless SDS: ceramic coated
    - 5 sizes
  - 316 stainless SMS: ceramic coated
    - 2 sizes
  - Unmarked masonry screws: bought off the shelf
    - 2 sizes
  - Unmarked SDS: bought off the shelf
  - Unmarked SMS: bought off the shelf
- 10 of each type were tested out of the box
- 1000 hour test (500 cycles of one hour on, one hour drying)





College of Engineering

# Corrosion of Roofing Fastener Systems 2015 - 2016 Work: Test-3

Table 3: test-3: 11 specimen types, 10 samples of each, and 5 specimen types, 8 samples of eachStatus: 765 hours of 1000 hours completed (382 out of 500 cycles completed)

Group number	Product type	Use	Certification	Coating
1	Hex 3/8 x 5 304 Stainless white	Masonry	MDC Approved	Ceramic
2	Hex 3/8 x 7 304 Stainless white	Masonry	MDC Approved	Ceramic
3	Hex 1/4 x 3 ¼ 304 Stainless silver	Masonry	MDC Approved	Ceramic
4	Hex 1/4 x 2 ¼ 304 Stainless silver	Masonry	MDC Approved	Ceramic
5	Hex 1/4 10 x 2 SMS 316 Stainless bronze	Screen enclosure	TBD	Ceramic
6	Hex 1/4 12 x ¾ SDS 316 Stainless bronze	Screen enclosure	TBD	Ceramic
7	Hex 5/16 14 x 1 SDS 316 Stainless bronze	Screen enclosure	TBD	Ceramic
8	Hex 3/8 14 x 1 SDS 316 Stainless bronze	Screen enclosure	TBD	Ceramic
9	Hex 5/16 12 x 2 SDS 316 Stainless bronze	Screen enclosure	TBD	Ceramic
10	Hex 1/4 12 x 3/4 SDS Stainless white	Screen enclosure	TBD	Ceramic
11	Hex 1/4 10 x 2 SMS Stainless white	Screen enclosure	TBD	Ceramic

### 2015 – 2016 Work: Test-1

Figure I: All I <sup>1</sup>/<sub>4</sub>" electrogalvanized (EG) nails compliant with ASTM A641, non-HVHZ. Head results shown, shaft very similar



## 2015 – 2016 Work: Test-1

Figure 2: All 1 <sup>1</sup>/<sub>4</sub>" EG nails compliant with ASTM A641, HVHZ approved. Head results shown, shaft very similar



### 2015 – 2016 Work: Test-1

Figure 3: #8 2  $\frac{1}{2}$ " roof tile screws, two mechanically galvanized specimens and one electroplated specimen. Head and shaft identical.

No visible corrosion on any sample of MG tile screws Next graph: Hot dipped and SS

SLIDE



### 2015 – 2016 Work: Test-1

Figure 4: Two hot dipped I 1/4" nail specimens, one hot dipped I 1/2" screw enclosure fastener specimen, and one IOd 3" stainless steel patio/deck nail specimen. Head results shown. Shaft results identical.

No visible corrosion on any sample of hot dipped fasteners

SLIDE



#### Corrosion of Roofing Fastener Systems North Florida EG coil nail ASTM FI667 - ASTM A641





**UF** College of Engineering UNIVERSITY of FLORIDA



SLIDE

#### Corrosion of Roofing Fastener Systems Central Florida EG coil nail ASTM FI667 - ASTM A641





## South Florida EG coil nail ASTM A641 HVHZ – Approved





#### Hot dipped nails



24

## 2015 – 2016 Work: Test-1

- Observations
  - ASTM A641 certified EG performance no better than unlabeled EG fasteners from the previous year's study
  - TAS 114 E compliant EG fasteners perform no better than ASTM A641
  - Hot dipped fasteners perform much better than EG fasteners
  - Mechanically galvanized (MG) screws perform better than EP screws
  - Miami-Dade approved EG fasteners: The results did not reveal a single EG sample that passed the TAS 114E criterion of < 5% surface corrosion. Each of the fastener samples tested had a score of at least 3 (partial light surface corrosion), and most samples displayed significant heavy corrosion</li>
  - Only the hot dipped and mechanically galvanized specimens demonstrated no corrosion in any of the samples



### 2015 – 2016 Work: Test-2

Figure 5: 1 1/4" EG nails, no certification marking. Blue: tested out-of-thebox. Green: installed-removed prior to testing.



### 2015 – 2016 Work: Test-2

Figure 6: Test-2 I 1/4" Hot dipped nails, tested out-of-the-box and installed-removed as noted: Head results



### 2015 – 2016 Work: Test-2

Figure 6: Test-2 I 1/4" Hot dipped nails, tested out-of-the-box and installed-removed as noted: Shaft results



#### Test-2 hot dipped out of the box







29

#### Test-2 hot dipped installed-removed





slide





#### Test-2 hot dipped installed-removed







## 2015 – 2016 Work: Test-3

- Testing completed last week (1000 hours)
- Scoring not yet completed
- Photos are provided to show typical performance



#### Test-3: 304 stainless: ceramic coated

















**College of Engineering** UNIVERSITY *of* FLORIDA

#### Test-3: 304 stainless: ceramic coated



#### Test-3:316 stainless: ceramic coated





35







#### Test-3:316 stainless: ceramic coated



#### Test-3: 316 stainless: ceramic coated











**College of Engineering** UNIVERSITY of FLORIDA

#### Test-3:316 stainless: ceramic coated



College of Engineering

#### Test-3: unmarked

