

DRO OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE (DROOC) SUBCOMMITTEE MEETING FRIDAY, JANUARY 22, 2010, 2:00 PM – 4:00 PM PZ&B – VISTA CENTER 2300 NORTH JOG RD, WEST PALM BEACH, FL 33411 2ND FLOOR CONFERENCE ROOM (VC-2E-12)

TOPICS OF DISCUSSION

- A. REVIEW OF THE OCTOBER 16, 2009 DROOC MINUTES (EXHIBIT A)
- B. PROPOSED 2010 FEES MMK
- C. DROOC ONGOING TASKS CAR/JM (EXHIBIT B)

D. ULDC UPDATE – BPN

- 1. Round 2009-02
- 2. Round 2010-01 (EXHIBIT C)
- E. ECONOMIC COUNCIL-REGULATORY CLIMATE COMMITTEE UPDATE JB

F. OPEN DISCUSSION

- 1. Interim uses CAR/AS
- 2. DROOC Meeting dates for 2010
- G. ELECT NEW CHAIR AND VICE-CHAIR
- H. NEXT MEETING TOPICS
- I. ADJOURNMENT



DEVELOPMENT REVIEW OFFICER OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE (DROOC) MINUTES OF THE OCTOBER 16, 2009 SUBCOMMITTEE MEETING

PREPARED BY EILEEN PLATTS, ZONING SECRETARY

On Friday, October 16, 2009 at 2:00 p.m. the DROOC Subcommittee met in the Second Floor Conference Room (VC-2E-12), at 2300 North Jog Road, West Palm Beach, Florida.

1. CALL TO ORDER

Chairman Jeff Brophy called the meeting to order at 2:03 p.m.

Members Present – 6

Jeff Brophy – LDS – Chairman Scott Mosolf – UDKS – Vice Chair Belinda Harper for Bradley Miller – MLPC Chris Barry for Jon Schmidt – Jon Schmidt & Assoc. Wendy Tuma for Collene Walter – UDKS Pat Lentini – Gentile, Holloway, et al

Zoning Staff Present:

Jon MacGillis – Zoning Director Wendy Hernandez – Zoning Manager Carol Glasser – CDR Donna Adelsperger – CDR Jan Wiegand – Arch Review DG McGuire– Arch Review

Members Absent – 6

Jan Polson – Cotleur & Hearing Chris Roog – GCBA Bill Whiteford – Team Plan Inc. Kevin McGinley – Land Research Mgmt. Gladys DiGirolamo – GL Homes Ron Last – Last Devenport, Inc.

Audience:

Nicole Smith – LWDD Anne Perry – LWDD

A. <u>Review of July 17, 2009 (DROOC), August 21, 2009 (Brown Bag) and October 2, 2009 (URA)</u> <u>MINUTES – (*ATTACHMENTS A-1 A-2 & A-3*)</u>

Jeff Brophy then addressed the Minutes from the July 17, 2009, August 21, 2009 and October 2, 2009 meetings. There were no Amendments. The motion passed unanimously **(6-0)**.

B. FOLLOW-UP ON TWO ISSUES RAISED AT BROWN BAG

1. Timing of Agents getting Resolutions

WH – This goes along with item I on this Agenda. For the Resolutions staff created a new Policy Memo for the Zoning Division (CDR mostly). Staff will be sending the draft resolutions out to you before they go to Public Hearing for you to comment on generally around the time they are sent to the supervisors so it is in DRAFT form. Then staff will provide you with a version of the Resolution that is being sent to the Commissioners and County Attorney when the packets go out. Then there will be another merge of Resolutions done right before the Public Hearing to take down to the Public Hearing (ZC/BCC) so staff can expedite the signature process. The only delay in the Resolutions of Approval or if Conditions are added at the Hearings then the Agent would have to come back to Zoning afterwards and they will have 5 days to clean those up and get them back to the County Attorneys office for signature. Staffs goal is that even anything on the add/delete will be remerging and then there will just be those that are under debate left.

2. Submittal of applications to CDR Section other than Submittal date

This is something Bill Whiteford brought up earlier on allowing applications to be submitted a week before the normal submittal date as long as it is scheduled. This option is limited to really complicated projects and special circumstances. Wendy Hernandez will create a draft memo on this procedure.

C. <u>DROOC ONGOING TASKS</u> – (ATTACHMENT B)

Jon MacGillis reviewed each task on the 2009 chart.

Landscape Permit – This item is going to the ULDC 2009-01 Round of Amendments next Thursday October, 22, 2009 for adoption. There will be a press release coming out next week right after the adoption that will have the changes to the Architecture section, CDR section and AR/PI section.

Final DRO/Building Permit process – This has already been implemented; there's a press release out and a PPM (#20-0-041) is on the Zoning Division website. There is one application currently in the system that is now coming through using this process. There are three types of this process you can choose from:

DRO Type I – This is the process being used now;

DRO Type II – This is an Expedited review called a "Concurrent Review" which has the Building Permit, Zoning and Land Development being done concurrently; and

DRO Type III – This option is the same as Type II except it extends the review process by adding Platting.

A pre-application meeting is required when using the Type II or Type III process; it is also a requirement that the agent go to the Building Division and Land Development for permits prior to submitting to Zoning.

EAC applications – Staff is still working with the other Agencies on this issue.

EAC Fees – Discussed in item K under Proposed Revised Fees

URA – Zoning just received the third draft of the URA code. The IR/URA Subcommittee Members requested to receive a hard copy of the Draft URA code to review. Their next meeting is on November 4, 2009 from 2:00-4:00 pm.

Infill Redevelopment – The IR Subcommittee made a recommendation to LDRAB for the IRO Code to go forward at the October 14, 2009. Maryann Kwok stated that Article 1.E and 1.F is still being reviewed by Staff and will be brought before IR Subcommittee once more for review at the URA Subcommittee meeting on November 4, 2009. Staff has reserved an hour before this meeting exclusively for this purpose; the time is broken up into two parts: 1:00-2:00 pm will focus entirely on the review of Article 1.E & F; 2:00-4:00 pm will focus solely on reviewing the Draft URA code.

D. <u>CONCURRENT DRO PROCESS – PPM 20-0-041</u> – (EXHIBIT C)

Discussed in item C under DRO/Building Permit process. Jeff Brophy questioned whether the preapplication meeting was just with Zoning or with all the Divisions involved in the process. Jon MacGillis stated that the request for the concurrent process will be on the regular DRO meeting as workshop items.

E. <u>REVISED DRO PROCEDURES</u> – (EXHIBIT D)

Wendy Hernandez advised the Members that items E and G go together. She mentioned that one of the topics of discussion at the last DROOC meeting was the Expedited DRO process in which the Off-The-Board is eliminated because it requires a lot of duplicated documents. The Expedited process is just an extension of a Public Hearing application. For example, after a Class B application goes to the Zoning Commission and there are no Conditions placed on it you can go straight to DRO to turn in your Mylar. The review process for Final DRO applications is the same except you are not resubmitting the same surveys and documents that were submitted at Public Hearing because they are already in that part of that application; you only submit the documents that were needed to make the project comply with the Conditions of Approval. Wendy Tuma stated that Collene Walter had a question regarding a sentence in the Zoning Commission Approval memo dated September 21, 2009. The memo states that if on consent the applicant will be placed on the next DRO Agenda by staff. Collene stated that by the way that sentence reads it is assumed that you are automatically placed on the next DRO Agenda but it was her understanding that the sentence should read "...at the Agents request", unless she misunderstood the whole process. Wendy Hernandez replied that the reason

the applicant will be placed on the DRO Agenda by Staff is that it will keep the project moving in the process and not have the Public Hearing just linger on until you come in. Jon MacGillis explained that the Agent has two months after the Zoning Commission to decide to use this process, if you do not come in within the two months you must use the regular process. Staff is pushing that once you go to the Board that you follow-up with Zoning within two months in order to get that Mylar hanging. Wendy Tuma stated that it was her understanding that the Expedited process was only for projects that do not require any changes to the Site Plan after going to Public Hearing. Jon MacGillis stated that minor changes to the site plan are allowed if the project is coming Off-the-Board and the changes are needed to comply with Conditions or are a directive from the Zoning Commission/BCC but changes to the site plan like adding square footage is not allowed and you would have to use the regular process. For example a project going to BCC would go to ZC first and if any Conditions are added you comply with them and only turn in the documents dealing with the Conditions at DRO, then you go to BCC and if they have no Conditions you go straight to Final DRO and if everything is met all you do is turn in a Mylar.

F. <u>RESUBMITTED DOCUMENTS FOR DRO</u>

Wendy Hernandez advised the Members that they only have to resubmit documents that address the issues at hand. Those extra documents are already in the system and if resubmitted might cause problems. For example, a survey has to have a date stamp on it to show that it was completed no more than one year prior to the projects submittal, when resubmitted those documents take on the date of the resubmittal and even though nothing was changed on the survey the new date may make it void because the date stamp might be more than a year ago and in turn makes you insufficient. If you hadn't resubmitted the survey it would still be good because the date didn't change and would still be dated less than a year from the original submittal date.

G. EXPEDITED REVIEW PROCESS FOR FINAL DRO APPLICATIONS

Discussed in Item E under Revised DRO Procedures

H. ORDERING OF CONTROL FILES FOR APPLICATION REQUESTS IN CDR SECTION

Wendy Hernandez stressed to the Members that it is critical for them to request the control files from Larissa in the file room early so they will be ready for them to bring to Sufficiency Review for Staff to look through. Also, Zona will be requesting the control file at the drop and run intake so make sure you have it with you.

I. <u>RESOLUTIONS</u>

Discussed in Item B.1 under Timing of Agents getting Resolutions

J. PLACES OF WORSHIP

Jon MacGillis explained that the FAQ's on Places of Worship are being modified and is going to the ULDC 2009-01 Round of Amendments next Thursday October, 22, 2009 for adoption. Places of Worship do not have any Conditions but they still have to meet all of the code requirements, meet concurrency, dedicate R-O-W, etc. Jon MacGillis stated that Places of Worship can not get a Variance under the new code provisions no matter what.

K. PROPOSED REVISED FEES

Maryann Kwok reviewed the proposed Zoning Fee Amendment 2010, and explained that she would like to give the Members a copy of the revised fee chart but there are minor changes that need to be made per a meeting with Barbara Alterman, as soon as the chart is updated she will send it to the Members for review.

L. <u>ULDC UPDATE – BPN</u>

Barbara Pinkston-Nau stated that the adoption of the 2009-01 Amendments will be on Thursday, October 22, 2009. She then summarized the upcoming rounds as follows:

1. Round 2009-02 – There are some carryovers from round 09-01 going in this round, Renewable Energy, Flex Space, Workforce Housing, parking credits for Queuing, Article 1E & F Amendments, LCC, EDO, the big item is the IRO. Those are the main items going in this round.

2. Round 2010-01 – Maybe the URA will go in this round but we are not quite sure where it is falling as of yet. Staff will start looking at items around December/January then the calendar will be set up for dates we will be looking for items to come in. She reminded the Members that we do not take requests from the Public but if you notice a glitch or inconsistency then we will address that other than that the item needs to be Board directed

M. ELECTRONIC SITE PLAN AMENDMENTS

Alan Seaman stated that staff is still working on how to protect your .dwg file as it comes in. Jeff Brophy, County Attorney Bob Banks and Angela Boyett, an ISS representative will be meeting to discuss proprietary rights of Agent. There is another meeting on this a week from Tuesday. The biggest issue is keeping your drawings secure.

N. OPEN DISCUSSION

Jeff Brophy asked if anyone had anything to discuss that was not on the Agenda. Wendy Tuma asked if there is a new requirement that prior to DRO certification in the Public Hearing section you have to get a letter from adjacent land owners saying that you have met with them? Wendy Hernandez answered yes, we have talked about adding it in as a comment because there has been a lot of occurrences of the Land owners of adjacent properties to projects are coming to the Public Hearing to complain that they were never advised of this project and that the Agent never offered them a meeting to advise/update them so the project ends up getting postponed. Commissioner Marcus brought this issue up too, she wanted Zoning to send out the notifications earlier than what we do to notify the adjacent property owners of the project. Staffs idea was to put a comment in the staff report stating that you sent us a notice letter of when you met with the adjacent property owners or when you planned on meeting with them. Wendy Tuma also asked that if you submit a Development Order Amendment to modify a site plan do you also have to submit an application to change every condition that the modification affects? Wendy Hernandez answered yes, the conditions need to be modified to show that they have been met or have been taken out or else they carry forward on the Resolution. Discussion ensued amongst staff and the members on how costly that process is and that there has to be something that can be done to make it more affordable. Jon MacGillis and Maryann Kwok stated that they are going to meet to discuss the fees on modifying conditions when going through a DO Amendment.

O. <u>NEXT MEETING TOPICS</u>

Jeff Brophy stated that the next meeting will be a recap of the issues discussed today. Maryann Kwok wants to present the IRO code to the DROOC Subcommittee Members sometime in December. Jeff Brophy agreed that a meeting in December is a good idea.

P. ADJOURNMENT

The DROOC Subcommittee will receive the calendar with the dates for the 2010 meetings as soon as it is completed, the calendar will also be on the DROOC webpage for your convenience.

The meeting adjourned at 3:40 pm.

U:\Zoning\DRO\DRO Oversight Committee\Subcommittee Meetings\2010\1-22\Exhibits and Handouts\EXHIBIT - A - 10-16-09 DROOC Minutes.docx

Item No.	Task	Lead Person	Section	Comments	Milestone Dates	Testing	Training	Implementation	Status of Task (O/C)	Hyperlink to documents
1	Landscape Permit-Suggestion to implement a Landscape Permit at time of building permit Submittal. We do collect fees at building permit review for landscape review so this would be simply creating a permit that would be done at that time in ePZB. NO new fees or change to process just collecting what we should be for Landscape Plan Review. Jon will explore this suggestions. Industry does NOT want ZC/BCC Conditions requiring Landscape Plans to be submitted prior to final DRO approval. Zoning added this condition to some recent approvals.		Landscape	2009-01 Round will investigate requiring a Landscape Permit.	June 2009 LDRAB	N/A	N/A	Dec-09	Closed	
2	Final DRO Process -Allow for applicant to have choice of using existing off BCC process or new process where Final Site Plan, Plat and Building Permit are review together. LDRAB Subcommittee Meeting for last several month discussing process and making recommendation. Going to LDRAB in July 2009. Also, Subcommittee making recommendations to modify the DRO authority in terms of allowed amendments to ZC/BCC approvals. Went over both processes at DROOC on April 24, 2009.	Barbara P Nau	CD		Review with Industry at Brown Bag in August 2009	Ongoing	October	Oct-Dec 2009	Closed	
3	EAC Applications-Been on DROOC list since 2008. At DROOC on April 24, 2009 Jon requested input from members is this an issue? Several members stated yes that agencies are adding conditions that are not related to EAC request. Jon said he would investigate with Staff to see if ULDC standards for EAC need to be clarified on is this an internal policy issue to limit conditions that have a rational nexus to EAC.	Jon, DRO Agencies	CD	July 2009 DROOC okayed this issue can be closed. Matter addressed by Zoning Director and CD Section	7/1/2009	N/A	N/A	Jon MacGillis - Re Fwd Status-Zoning Application Project.pdf	Closed	

Item No.	Task	Lead Person	Section	Comments	Milestone Dates	Testing	Training	Implementation	Status of Task (O/C)	Hyperlink to documents
4	EAC- Processing Fee-Raised at 4-24-09 DROOC Meeting. Requested staff to look at General Application Fee plus fee per condition. Appears on certain applications fee is too high. Jon said he would investigate with staff and see if amendment to fee could be recommended, if necessary in Fall 2009 when Fee Schedule is proposed to be looked at again by BCC.	Jon, Wendy, MMK	CD	July 17, DROOC Zoning Director explained if issues send to staff. Can look at EAC Fees in possible October Fee Amendment	requests to ZD on changes to Fees for EAC relative to		N/A	Pending Jan 2010 DROOC Input on changes to Fee in Feb 2010	Open	
5	URA Truthing-Request to see DRAFT ordinance and truth new provisions	Bryan Davis, Jon	Planning	Summer 2009- Need to setup meetings		June- August 2009	03/01/10	Dates changed hearings in Jan and adoption in Feb 2010	Open	
6	Infill Redevelopment DRAFT-Setup meeting with DROOC to review and help truth new language	MMK, Bill C	Code	June-August IR- O Subcommittee Meeting on	DRAFT	June- August 2009	01/01/10	Adoption on Jan 28, 2010. Subcommittee Meetings complete.	Open	
	KEY:									
	KEY: O=Open C=Closed									-

ULDC AMENDMENT TRACKING SCHEDULE - ROUND 2010-01 - KEY TOPIC OR ARTICLE STATUS (Updated 01/20/10)

Scheduled LDRAB/LDRC Meeting Dates: January 27, 2010 (Annual Meeting) February 24, 2010 March 24, 2010

- April 28, 2010
 May 26, 2010

- BCC Zoning Hearing Dates
 > June 24, 2010 (Request Permission to Advertise)
 > July 22, 2010 (First Reading)
- > August 26, 2010 (Adoption)

Article - Title	Dbase	Key Topic or III DC Article	Amendment Summary/Comments	Meeting Dates		РМ	Subcommittee
	Case #		Amendment Summary/Somments	LDRAB	LDRC	1 111	Subcommittee
Art. 1 – General	Provisions				1		
	10-013	Art. 1.I.2.D.31 – 34 [Related to Development Order] (page 48 and 49 of 109)	[Zoning/Co. Atty.] Review and consolidate various definitions for Development Order, where feasible.	TBD	TBD	MK/BPN	N/A
	10-015	Art. 1.I.2.O.13, Open Space (page 73 of 109)	[Zoning] Pending results of discussions with industry, County agencies and other interested parties as part of LDRAB Sub-committee – emphasis Table 3.E.2.C, PUD Land Use Mix (page 92 of 155), and verification that any changes will not adversely impact other requirements or references to open space.	TBD	TBD	WC	YES (Landscape)
		Art. 1.F.4.E.2. (page 23 of 110)	[Zoning] Glitch – update reference to Art. 2.B.3, Variances to respond to prior amendments creating differing types of variances.	TBD	TBD	BPN	N/A
		Art. 1.G.1.B.3.a, Variance Required for New Deviation from Regulations (page 23 of 110)	[Zoning] Glitch – update reference to Art. 2.B.3, Variances to respond to prior amendments creating differing types of variances.	TBD	TBD	BPN	N/A
Art. 2 – Develop	ment Revie	ew Procedures					
		Art. 2.A.1.D.1.b.5) [Related to Zoning Commission and authority to grant Type II Variance Relief] (page 9 of 57)	[Zoning] Minor clarification to indicate that variance relief may not be granted within eligible Articles where the term "prohibited" is expressly stated or applied to a requirement. May also include additional clarification that some variance relief may be permitted within prohibited sections – where expressly stated as such.	TBD	TBD	BPN	N/A
		Art. 2.A.1.G.3.h.2), Sign Plans	[Zoning] Glitch – clarify title of referenced Articles Art. 2.A.1.G.3.g.1) and 2).	TBD	TBD	MK/BPN	N/A
	10-006	Art. 2.B.3.B.2, Noise Variance (page 19 of 53)	[Zoning] Add special standards for noise variances inadvertently omitted from Ord. 2003-067 (see Ord. 1992-007, as amended).	TBD	TBD	BPN	N/A
		Art. 2.E.2.C.2 [Related to Appeal and Monitoring]	[Zoning] Glitch – incorrect reference for appeals to DRAB.	TBD	TBD	BPN	N/A
	10-001	Art. 2.F.6.B, Annual Public Facilities Report (AUR) (page 51 of 53)	[Zoning] Report has become redundant due to existing OFMB administrative processes that track relevant APF data. To be deleted concurrent with Plan amendments to FLUE Policy 3.5-e of Objective 3.5 "Levels of Service Required for Development" and UE Policy 1.1-e.	TBD	TBD	BPN	N/A
Art. 3 - Overlays	and Zonin	g Districts					
	10-011	Art. 3.B.2, AZO, Airport Zoning Overlay (page 14 of 154)	[DOA] Minor amendments to AZO.	TBD	TBD	BPN	N/A
	10-004	Art. 3.B.15, WCRAO, Westgate Community Redevelopment Area Overlay (page 35 of 154)	[WCRAO/Zoning] Minor amendments to WCRAO.	TBD	TBD	WC	N/A

ULDC AMENDMENT TRACKING SCHEDULE – ROUND 2010-01 – KEY TOPIC OR ARTICLE STATUS (Updated 01/20/10)

Auticle Tit	Dbase	Key Topic or ULDC Article	Amount Ourseast Operations and a		g Dates	РМ	Outhersmulthers
Article - Title	Case #		Amendment Summary/Comments	LDRAB	LDRC	PM	Subcommittee
		Art. 3.B, Overlays (Create new Economic Development Overlay) (page 14 of 154)	[Zoning/Planning] Establishment of Economic Development Overlay as mandated by FLUE Objective 1.10, SR-7 Economic Development Ovelray (Policies 1.10-a through 1.10-r).	TBD	TBD	WC	Yes (General)
	09-252	Table 3.D.1.A, PDRs; Table 3.E.3.D, MUPD PDRs; Table 3.E.4.D, MXPD PDRs; Table 3.E.5.D, PIPD PDRs; and, Table 3.E.6.D, MHPD PDRs. See also Overlays – as applicable.	[Zoning/Planning] Concurrent amendments to the FLUE of the Plan and the ULDC to relocate FAR provisions to the ULDC. Rescinds many of the FAR related changes adopted as part of the MGTS.	TBD	TBD	WC	N/A
	10-015	Table 3.D.2.C, PUD Land Use Mix (page 92 of 155)	[Zoning] Review of PUD open space requirements and related definition listed under Art. 1.I.2.O.13, Open Space.	TBD	TBD	WC	Yes (Landscape)
		Art. 3.E.1.C.2.j, Recreation Clubhouse Emergency Generators (page 83 of 154)	[Zoning] Glitch – Amend to indicate updated 20,000 square foot threshold as amended via Ord. 2007-013 to Art. 5.B.1.A.18.a.2) (page 24 of 86).	TBD	TBD	WC	N/A
Art. 4 – Use Re	gulations						
		Art. 4.A.4.A, General [Related to Development Thresholds] and Table 4.A.3.A, Thresholds for Projects Requiring Board of County Commission Approval (page 19 of 161).	[Zoning] Amend to clarify that WCRAO and PBIA projects that are eligible for rezoning without a commercial or industrial FLU amendment shall meet the applicable commercial or industrial square footage threshold for the FLU designation that corresponds to the Zoning district; amend table reference to Table 4.A.4.A and center. Reference WH, BPN and WC discussion on 1/11/10 and corresponding e-mails.	TBD	TBD	WC	N/A
	10-016	Art. 4.B.1.A.87, Multi-family (page 64 of 161)	[Zoning] Amend to delete prohibition for multi-family units on ground floor of TMD mainstreet. Pending discussion regarding e-mail from Wendy H. dated 01/09/10).	TBD	TBD	BPN	N/A
Art. 5 - Suppler	mentary Sta						
		N/A - No amendments submitted for 2010-01 Round.					
Art. 6 – Parking							
Art 7 Landaa		N/A – No amendments submitted to date.					
Art. 7 – Landsc	aping	Art. 7.E.5.G.4, Vacant Lot Variance	[Zoning] Glitch – clarify variance reference Art. 2.D.3, Administrative Variance.	TBD	TBD	BPN	N/A
	09-057	Art. 7.F.7.D, Clustering (Page 35 of 47)	[Zoning/Industry] Consider amendment to the clustering of canopy trees in	TBD	TBD	BPN	Yes
	09-058		ROW buffers for non-residential projects. The code doesn't consider projects that have frontages greater than 300' in length. (See database notes 2010-01) (Per Wendy Tuma's email to JM 6/16/09 8:03 a.m.)				(Landscape)
	10-003	Art. 7.G.2.E.1, Curbing [Related to Off-street Parking Requirements] (Page 43 of 47)	[Zoning/Landscape] Clarify exemptions from Type "D" and "F" curbing still require alternative form of landscape protection from vehicular use areas.	TBD	TBD	BPN	N/A
	10-014	Codify PPM on Easement Overlap	[Zoning]	TBD	TBD	BPN	N/A

ULDC AMENDMENT TRACKING SCHEDULE – ROUND 2010-01 – KEY TOPIC OR ARTICLE STATUS (Updated 01/20/10)

Article - Title	Dbase Case #	Key Topic or ULDC Article	Amendment Summary/Comments	Meeting Dates		РМ	Subcommittee
Art. 8 - Signage	Ouse #			LUNAD	LDRC	<u> </u>	
Alt. 0 - Olynage		N/A – No changes submitted to date.					
Art. 9 – Archeol	ogical and	Historic Preservation				1 1	
	10-001	Art. 9.B.4.B, Waiver of the Code Provisions (page 13 of 18)	[Historical Preservation/Zoning] Response to need to provide incentives and mechanism to allow for the redevelopment of non-conforming structures that have been deemed historic by the Historical Resources Review Board (HRRB) in accordance with the standards of Art. 9. * The proposed amendments will be reviewed by the HRRB.			JW/CD	N/A *
Art. 10 – Code E	nforcemer						
		N/A – No changes submitted to date.					
Art. 11 – Subdiv		ing and Required Improvements					
	09-036	Art. 11, Platting [Related to R-O-W Abandonment]	[Land Development] Art. 11, Possible amendment for consistency on how an abandoned R-O-W is to be added to an adjoining parcel. [Zoning] May include minor amendments to Art. 3, Overlays and Zoning Districts to accommodate the incorporation of abandoned R-O-W into privately held parcels – including PDDs and TDDs.	TBD	TBD	BPN	N/A
	10-012	Art. 11.D, Platting (page 27 of 47)	[Surveying] Minor amendments pending (Glenn Mark).	TBD	TBD	GM/BPN	N/A
Art. 12 – Traffic							
	09-048	Art. 12, Traffic Performance Standards	[Traffic Division] Amend to add new Chapter for Indiantown Road Corridor Master Plan. (See email dated Mon 6/29/2009 3:51 PM to AD/BPN) Pending adoption of Comp Plan amendments in Oct. 2010.	TBD	TBD	AE/BPN	N/A
Art. 13, Impact F	ees					<u> </u>	
	10-020	N/A – No changes submitted to date. *	* NOTE: The 2009 Bi-Annual updates are proposed to be submitted as a stand alone Ordinance. Please contact Willie Swoop, Impact Fee Coordinator for additional information.	TBD	TBD	BPN	N/A
Art. 14, ERM							
	10-018	Minor amendments to update Groundwater Resources Protection Board and related definitions.	 [ERM] Modify the duties of the Groundwater Resources Protection Board by adding the Natural Areas Ordinance to their jurisdictional authority in Article 17. Update some definitions for the Sea Turtle code in Article 1. 	TBD	TBD	RK/BPN	N/A
Art. 15 – Health	Regulation	IS					
	10-019	Art. 15, Health Regulations (various)	[Health] Updates to Florida Statutes 381, 386 and 408 and associated regulations related to on-site sewage treatment and disposal including requirements for private collection and transmission systems.	TBD	TBD	AD/BPN	N/A
Art. 16 – Airport	Regulation						
		N/A – No changes submitted to date.					

ULDC AMENDMENT TRACKING SCHEDULE – ROUND 2010-01 – KEY TOPIC OR ARTICLE STATUS (Updated 01/20/10)

	Dbase	Kou Tonio or III DC Articlo	Amondment Cummons/Comments	Meeting Dates		DM	Cubeenmittee
Article - Title	Case #	Key Topic or ULDC Article	Amendment Summary/Comments	LDRAB	LDRC	PM	Subcommittee
Art. 17 – Decisio	n Making						-
	10-017	Art. 17.C.7, Hearing Officers (page 14 of 26)	[Engineering/ERM/Co. Att.] Add clarification that Hearing Officers may serve to review other ordinances not specified otherwise, under the statement "any other provisions of this code or as may be determined to be appropriate by the BCC, from time to time."	TBD	TBD	LB/BPN	N/A
	10-009	Art. 17.B.1.C.2 [Related to Vacancy] (page 8 of 26)	[Zoning] Glitch - "that person's term shall end (should say begin) at the same time the departing members terms would have ended".	TBD	TBD	BPN	N/A
Art. 18 – Flood D	Damage Pro	evention					
		N/A – No changes submitted to date.					
Additional Topic							
	Agricultu	iral Enclave (aka Callery Judge Groves)					
	10-007	Various amendments.	[Zoning/Planning] Series of amendments necessary to implement FLUE Policies establishing standards recognizing Agricultural Enclaves as mandated by F.S. 163.3162, The Agricultural Lands and Practices Act. Plan references include amendments to AE definitions for Agricultural Enclave Development, New Urbanism, Transect, Transect Zone and Form Based Code; FLUE Policies 1.4-a, 2.1-a, 2.2.5-d, 2.2.5-e, 2.2.5-g, 2.2.5-I, and 3.5-d; TE Policy 1.4- q. Includes suggested amendments and related documents submitted on behalf of Callery Judge Groves by Urban Design Kilday Studio's on December 16, 2009.	TBD	TBD	WC	Yes (General)
	Bio-Scie	nce Protection Overlay					
	09-060	PENDING	[Zoning/Planning/Co. Atty.] Pending results of proposed amendments to the Bio-Science Board by-laws.	TBD	TBD	WC	N/A
	Lake Wo	rth Drainage District					
		PENDING	[LWDD] Codify LWDD authority under Development Review Officer.	TBD	TBD	WC	N/A
STAND ALONE ORI	DINANCES						
	Urban Re	edevelopment Area					
		Create new Article 3.B.18, Priority Redevelopment Areas (PRAs),	[PZB] Will include related amendments to calibrate the PRA's to existing ULDC Articles 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8 and 12, as necessary to implement the July 2007 TCRPC Urban Redevelopment Area (URA) Planning Study and Corridor Master Plans, feedback received during the PRA charrette, and specific Policies of the Plan.	TBD	TBD	WC	Yes (Infill Redevelopment)
	Pain Mar	nagement Moratorium					
		Articles 1.E, 3.B, 3.E, 3.F, 4.A, 4.B. and 6.A	[Zoning/Co. Atty.] Enacting a one year moratorium on the acceptance of Zoning applications and approval of requests for Zoning approval of Pain Management Clinics, establishing definitions, use regulations and parking standards for Pain Management Clinics.	Jan. 27	Jan. 27	BPN/RB	N/A

ULDC AMENDMENT TRACKING SCHEDULE – ROUND 2010-01 – KEY TOPIC OR ARTICLE STATUS (Updated 01/20/10)